

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 09/434,498 11/05/99 DATE M ASAIN0058 **EXAMINER** 000113 IM52/0829 GRIFFIN BUTLER WHISENHUNT & SZIPL LLP HESS. B PAPER NUMBER SUITE PH-1 ART UNIT 2300 NINTH STREET SOUTH ARLINGTON VA 22204-2396 1774 DATE MAILED: 08/29/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

,	Application No.   09/434 488	Applicant(s)	to a	al.	
Office Action Summary	67/434,498  Examiner  Bruce +	less	Group Art Unit		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	rrespondence a	address—	
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE Ove	MONTH(S	) FROM THE M	AILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ly within the statutory min expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application t	imum of thirty (3 om the mailing da o become ABAN	0) days will be cons ate of this commun IDONED (35 U.S.C.	sidered timely. nication. § 133).	
Status  Responsive to communication(s) filed on6 - 20	-01				
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (	or formal matters, <b>pro</b> : C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	secution as to	o the merits is	<b>closed</b> in	
Disposition of Claims   and 3-7					
Claim(s)			$\_$ is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)			_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Clạim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)		is/are re	ejected.		
□ Claim(s)   1 and 3-7					
25 Cidiff(5)		are subj requirer	ject to restrictior nent	election	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ annoved	•			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	• •	usappiove	u.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	d to by the Examiner				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	d 05 I I O O C 440 (-)	. /-N			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> </ul>	der 35 U.S.C. 9 119 (a)	⊢(a).			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	reived				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec		0			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents		·	•		
in this national stage application from the International E		(a))			
*Certified copies not received:	- ·			·	
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) 🗆 Ir	Interview Summary, PTO-413			
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		ther			

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/434,498

Art Unit: 1774

- 1. It is first noted that the top left corner of pages 2-13 of applicants latest response recites "Serial No. 09/422,245". Only page 1 correctly recites "Serial No. 09/434,498".
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1 and 7 are, drawn to articles, classified in class 503, subclass 200.
  - II. Claims 3-6, drawn to processes, classified in class 503, subclass 201.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (e.g., image with a heat stylus without first heating the recording layer to a fused state).

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. In the event of the election of the Group II invention, the following election of species is also required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Art Unit: 1774

Process of imaging wherein

A. Heating a part of the recording layer to a color-erasing temperature range that is lower than the melting temperature of recording layer wherein the part is uncolored and stores the information (claim 3);

- B. Irradiating the colored portion with light partially in superposition to produce a double irradiated portion and uncoloring the double irradiated portion by maintaining the portion in a color erasing temperature range that is lower than the melting temperature of the reversible heat sensitive recording layer (claim 4)l;
- C. Forming two dimensional information with the use of a mask and a light source (claim 5); or
- D. Irradiating the paper with light, selectively cooling a first portion of the paper at a relatively slower rate to produce an uncolored portion and selectively cooling a second portion of the paper at a relatively faster rate to produce a colored portion (claim 6).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/434,498 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Bruce Hess/om August 23, 2001

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

Brune Tess